

Elihu on the Sabbath



**THE
ADVOCATE of TRUTH
SALEM, W. VA., U. S. A.**

"This is the love of God, that we keep His Commandments."—1 John 5:3.

In reviewing the subject of the Sabbath, I design not to follow any previous writer, but simply, plainly, and briefly, to convince sinners of sin, let their profession be what it may. And this I hope and pray may be done without giving offense to those who love the truth more than error; for God has many servants on earth who would gladly exchange error for truth, and many who do exchange their former traditions for the precious and everlasting truths of God as contained in His Word.

Now, the New Testament witnesses to the law and to the prophets; and that book is said to have been written thus: Matthew's Gospel six years after the resurrection of Christ: Mark's Gospel, ten years after the church commenced; Luke's Gospel, twenty-eight years after; John's Gospel, sixty-three years after; the Acts of the Apostles, thirty years after; Romans, First and Second Corinthians, and Galatians, twenty-four years after; Ephesians, Colossians, and Hebrews, twenty-nine years after; to Timothy, Titus, and the second epistle of Peter, thirty years after; the Revelation of John, sixty-one years; his three epistles, about sixty-five years after the resurrection; and the church had properly commenced. And it is easy for us to understand how these apostles understood and practiced with regard to the Sabbath, and they are the "foundation" next after Christ Himself. Therefore, if there were any such institution known and frequently spoken of in the church as "Sabbath," in those different ages of the church, we can easily know what was then meant by it. Some say that if we keep the seventh day of the week, we shall keep a "Jewish Sabbath." Well, we have no Saviour to trust in but Jesus Christ, who was, according to the flesh, a Jew;

no other apostles and prophets but Jewish; no other than Jewish Scriptures; and, indeed, Jesus said Himself that "salvation is of the Jews." John 4:22. And what did the writers of the New Testament mean by the words "Sabbath" and "Sabbath day"?

What did Matthew mean in the sixth year of the Christian church? He certainly did not mean the first day of the week, but he meant the day before the first day of the week. See Matthew 28:1. He meant what all other Jewish writers ever meant; viz., "The seventh day is the sabbath of the Lord thy God." But neither Matthew nor any of the apostles ever told us a word about the Sabbath being changed from the seventh to the first day of the week. Now, if the Scriptures cannot be broken, but everywhere mean one and the same thing; viz., "The seventh day is the sabbath of the Lord," then, if ministers contradict this and say the seventh day is not the Sabbath of the Lord, but the first day of the week is the Sabbath, will they not in this bear witness clearly and positively against themselves, unless they bring forward the chapter and verse where God commanded the Sabbath to be changed?

What did Mark mean by the word "Sabbath"? He meant also, that the Sabbath was the day before the first day of the week. See Mark 16:1, 2. Surely, if the Sabbath had been changed at the resurrection of Christ, Mark would have known it within ten years afterwards.

What did Luke mean, who wrote twenty-eight years after the resurrection of Christ? He also meant that the Sabbath was the day before the first day of the week; for he says that the women who prepared the ointment rested the Sabbath day, according to the commandment. See Luke 23:56. Thus, Luke understood the words "Sabbath day," in the fifty-eighth year of the Christian era, to mean the

day immediately preceding the first day of the week.

How did John understand this subject in the sixty-third year of the Christian church? He not only speaks of the Sabbath day as the others did, but he shows plainly that the first day of the week was considered a business day by the disciples after the resurrection. See John 20:1; also Luke 24:13.

But what did the writer of the Acts of the Apostles mean by the words "Sabbath" and "Sabbath day," thirty years after the Christian church was fully commenced? In writing, he often mentions the Sabbath, and once mentions the first day of the week as meaning quite another thing in plain distinction from the Sabbath. See Acts 13:14, 42, 44; 20:7. The practice of the Jews was then, as it is now, to meet in the synagogue on the seventh day. And again: "The next Sabbath day came almost the whole city to hear the word of God." He does not say this was the Jewish Sabbath, but the Sabbath day; this was the seventh day; and the first day of the week was not then known as the Sabbath by this writer, because he says the next Sabbath day most all of the Jews and Gentiles came together again. I say there would not have been any "next Sabbath" in the week till the next seventh day. Again, see Acts 16:13. "And on the sabbath we went out of the city by a riverside, where prayer was wont to be made." He does not say on the Jewish Sabbath, nor on the Sabbaths, as though there were two Sabbaths then, but on the Sabbath, that is, the seventh day, as understood by all Jewish writers of this day. Again see Acts 17:2, where Paul, as his manner was, went in among the Jews, and three Sabbath days reasoned with them out of the Scriptures.

Thus have I proved that the apostles of Christ understood that one day in the week should be called the Sabbath day;

and, further, I have proved that this day was the day before the first day of the week which is the seventh day; and you cannot deny it, nor by the Scriptures disprove it; consequently, if the Apostles of our Lord always called the seventh day the Sabbath day, six, ten, twenty-eight, thirty, and sixty-three years after the church was fully commenced, then it must be the Sabbath day now. And every one who calls any other day the Sabbath besides the one so called by the writers of the New Testament gives it a title which is nowhere found in the Scriptures: for when they say the Sabbath day they mean something very different from what the New Testament means. It is already proved that the Apostles called the seventh day of the week the Sabbath and the Sabbath day, for many years after the church was fully commenced.

Now we are to show what sin is: and we are not left to guess at it; but we have a given rule to know with certainty what constitutes sin. "By the law," then, "is the knowledge of sin." By what law was the knowledge of sin twenty-four years after the resurrection of Christ? Answer.—The very same law that was given when it was said, "Thou shalt not covet." The law, then, by which sin is known, is the Ten Commandments; and you cannot deny it! This law says, "The seventh day is the sabbath of the Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, nor thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates: for in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the Lord blessed the sabbath day, and hallowed it." See Exodus 20:10, 11. Now, until this law is altered or abrogated (and Christ says He came not "to destroy the law") by the same power that enacted it, a

willful transgression of it is a willful sin, let your profession be what it may; for "sin is the transgression of the law." He that offends in one point, or in one of these commandments, is guilty of all, that is, he is a transgressor of the law, a sinner in the sight of God. But a regenerated soul, a true-hearted Christian, says with Paul: "I delight in the law of God after the inward man." See Romans 7:22. "The law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good." See Romans 7:12. And any person who is not willing to keep the commandments of God, when plainly understood, has still a carnal mind, which "is not subject to the law if God, neither indeed can be." See Romans 8:7.

Will you say that this is judging too hard? or, "This is an hard saying; who can hear it?" I wish to judge no man; but the word that the Lord has spoken, the same shall judge you in the last day. See John 12:48. "...As many as have sinned without law shall also perish without law:....In the day when God shall judge the secrets of men by Jesus Christ according to my gospel." See Rom. 2:12-16. Then those who shall hold the truth in unrighteousness, those who pretend to keep the law differently from what God appointed it, those who, in fact, lay aside the commandments of God (the fourth or any other commandment) and teach for doctrine the commandments of men (the observance of the first day instead of the seventh), such, the Word says, are vain worshippers. See Mark 7:7.

But you say, it makes no difference which day is kept or called the Sabbath day, provided we keep one seventh of the time! This is not correct, because God never said so. God is not to be mocked in this way. He has been very good and kind to make the Sabbath for man, to appoint

the day, and the particular time of the day when the Sabbath is to commence and when it is to end; it is the seventh day in order from creation—the seventh day in the creation; and He said, "From even unto even, shall ye celebrate your Sabbath" (See Leviticus 23:32); as the evening and the morning were reckoned for the day. God did not leave this subject undecided, so that His people would appoint different days, and then everyone call his own the Sabbath day. But God blessed and sanctified the seventh day, and proved that particular day to be designated by Him, in the face and eyes of about six hundred thousand witnesses, by a miracle directly from heaven, in withholding the manna on that day, and in giving the food for that day on the day before; and it cannot be denied or disproved.

Again, you ask, How shall we know which is the seventh day? I answer, Do you wish to know? Then ask the Jews; for God has committed the lively oracles to them, and then scattered them among the nations. Do you know when the first day of the week comes? Well, the Sabbath is always the day before the first day of the week. See Matt. 28:1. But you may say, Do not the majority of honest-hearted Christians keep the first day of the week? and have they not for centuries done common labor on the seventh day, and observed the first in obedience to the fourth commandment, and still been honest in their motives, and living Christians? I answer, What is that to us, so long as the true light of the Sabbath did not come to their minds?

Now, we certainly know what sin is, not by what popular writers say—not by the popular traditions of our fathers—not altogether by our feelings—but by the law of God is this knowledge, for sin is the transgression of the law; and all who have the law of God have an infallible

and everlasting rule to know what sin is. Art thou a willful transgressor of the law of God? Then by the law is the knowledge that thou art a willful sinner before God. But if thou art an ignorant transgressor of the law of God, then by the law is the knowledge that thou art an ignorant sinner before God. To say nothing of presumptuous sin, I say, If thou hast ignorantly sinned, then repent and reform, and God will heal you. See Leviticus 4:2-13.

By the law of God, then, is the clear knowledge of sin. I speak to you, Protestants, who keep Sunday, a day formerly dedicated to the worship of the sun by the pagans, and afterwards brought into the church by Constantine and Roman Catholics, and called the Christian Sabbath, a name never known for the first day of the week by any of the writers of the New Testament. I speak to you, Protestants, and ask you if you have any given rule to know what sin is. Have you any certain rule to know whether Roman Catholics sin or not, in bowing down to images? They say they do not sin! You say you know they do sin. But how do you know it is sin to bow down to images, when they say it is not sin? **Answer.**—By the law, you say, you know this is sin, and you know it by no other rule; for you “had not known sin but by the law.” Well, by the same rule, I know what sin is. You say it is not sin to work and do common labor on the seventh day. But we know, not by **your** assertion, but by the law, whether you sin or not. You say you know by the law that it is a sin to bow down to images. I say (by your own rule), I know by the law that it is sin to do common labor on the seventh day; and you cannot deny it. And if you know it is the duty of Roman Catholics to repent of their sins for transgressing the second commandment, then I know it is also your duty to repent of your sin for transgressing the fourth command-

ment. He who said, “Thou shalt not kill,” “Thou shalt not steal,” “Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them (images), nor serve them,” etc., also said, “The seventh day is the sabbath.”

Can you not see the weakness of the argument; viz., that one seventh part of time was meant in the law, without regard to any particular day? In this you make the commandments of God of no effect through your tradition. Yea, you make void the part of the commandment which says, “The seventh day is the sabbath of the Lord thy God.” We read, not that the Lord blessed the seventh part of time or the Sabbath institution as you say, but the seventh day in particular. Why do you wish to take out and make void this part of the fourth command, when Christ has said, “Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law”? See Matthew 5:18. It was just as necessary that the particular day should be designated as it was that there should be a Sabbath made for man. It would not have been according to divine wisdom to say, Thou shalt keep one seventh part of time, or one day in seven, because this would have left mankind in as much confusion as your theory could make them! One might have kept one day, another the next, until seven Sabbaths were kept in one family. This much for the seventh part of time theory.

Suppose a parent should command his child to do a certain piece of labor on a certain day, and that the child should, without any just cause, neglect to perform the labor on the day specified, and should perform it on the next day. Would this show any respect for the authority of the parent? Or would the parent approve such conduct in his child? You must say, No. Or, if a governor should command all the military to do duty two days in the year, and

leave each one to select his own days, there would be as much wisdom in this as in the seventh part of time for the Sabbath of the Lord. God is not the author of confusion, but of order; while the theory of one seventh part of time, or one whole day in seven, instead of the seventh day, impeaches the divine wisdom, and makes God the author of confusion. Thus the theory, not the law of God, leads to anarchy and confusion, and to the observance of no Sabbath; and it cannot be denied. What reasonable objection have you to the law of God? What fault can you find with it just as it stands? Have you wisdom enough to change it for the better? "The law of the Lord is perfect, converting the soul." See Psalm 19:7. Yea, it is so perfect that it has already converted the souls of many, even from the doctrines and commandments of men, to keep the Sabbath of the Lord, and I trust it will convert many more; because "the statutes of the Lord are right, rejoicing the heart: the commandment of the Lord is pure, enlightening the eyes. . . More to be desired are they than gold, yea, than much fine gold: sweeter also than honey and the honeycomb." Verses 8-10. "Wherefore the law is holy, and the commandment holy, and just, and good. . . For I (Paul) delight in the law of God after the inward man." See Rom. 7:12-22.

Reader, dost thou delight in the law of God after the inward man? If not, thy soul shall be converted by praying for the law of God to be put into thy heart, and written in thy mind. But, if the law of God is already thy delight then why not be reconciled to it? Why not be subject to it just as it stands? Why wish to make void one jot or tittle of it? I do not present the law for justification; but as a perfect rule of right in this life; first, between man and his Creator; secondly, between man and his fellow man. "Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least com-

mandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven." See Matthew 5:19.

The Westminster divines found contradicting the writer of the Acts of the Apostles! These divines say, "From the beginning of the world to the resurrection of Christ, God appointed the seventh day to be the weekly Sabbath, and the first day of the week ever since, to continue to the end of the world, which is the Christian Sabbath."

1. Luke (the writer of the Acts of the Apostles) says (Acts 13:14) Paul and his company went into a synagogue of the Jews on the Sabbath day; this was according to our account A. D. 45, and twelve years after the resurrection of Christ. Luke says this was on the Sabbath day then, at that time. But the divines say this was not the Sabbath day at that time, but on Sunday, and that the seventh day was not then the Sabbath, neither had been for twelve years. Thus they contradict Luke plainly and pointedly.

2. Luke says (Acts 13:42, 44) that "when the Jews were gone out of the synagogue, the Gentiles besought that these words (of the gospel) might be preached to them the next sabbath." "And the next Sabbath day came almost the whole city together to hear the word of God." Thus, Luke says it was on the Sabbath day at that time twelve years after the resurrection. But the divines say that it was not the Sabbath at that time; for Sunday had been the Sabbath for twelve years.

3. Luke says (Acts 16:13): "And on the Sabbath we went out of the city by a river side, where prayer was wont to be made: . . ." A. D. 53, twenty years after the resurrection, and ten years before the Acts of the Apostles was written. This, Luke says, was actually on the Sabbath day at that time; but the divines contradict him, saying that this was

not the Sabbath at that time, but on **Sunday**; for the seventh day was not then the Sabbath, neither had been for twenty years—never since the resurrection of Christ! Thus they contradict Luke again; for all admit that Luke always called the seventh day, the day the Jews met in their synagogue, the Sabbath, in the Acts of the Apostles.

4. Luke says (Acts 17:2-4) Paul, at Thessalonica, "as his manner was," went into a synagogue of the Jews, and so preached Christ and the resurrection three Sabbath days that some Jews and a great multitude of the Gentiles believed. This was twenty years after the resurrection of Christ. This, Luke says, was on three Sabbath days then, at that time. But the divines deny this also because they say that the Sabbath had been changed from the seventh to the first day of the week twenty years before. Thus they give Luke the lie.

5. Luke says (Acts 18:3, 4) Paul, at Corinth, labored with his hands as tentmaker (on the other days, as we should understand), but "reasoned in the synagogue every Sabbath; and persuaded the Jews and the Greeks." This was A. D. 54, twenty-one years after the resurrection of Christ, and nine years before the Acts of the Apostles was written. This, Luke said or wrote A. D. 63, the thirtieth year after the resurrection, and the thirtieth year of the Christian Church—that this preaching of Paul was on **every Sabbath; that is, on every seventh day**, the same day that the Jews always met in their synagogues for worship. This is plain, pointed, and positive proof that the seventh day was the Sabbath, at least thirty years after the resurrection of Christ; for Luke testified again and again that those meetings of the Jews and Gentiles were held on the Sabbath; and if Luke was a Christian, then the seventh day was the Christian Sabbath thirty years after the resurrection, the Westminster divines to the contrary not-

withstanding. And if the seventh day was the Sabbath thirty years after the resurrection of Christ, as Luke says it was, then it is the Sabbath now; for no man, or body of men have had any lawful right to alter or change this command of God our Creator. But we find not one word in favor of the idea, not even the least hint or allusion in all the New Testament that the first day of the week was ever so much as thought of as a Christian Sabbath by any of the apostles while they lived. And you must give it up; yea, and you will give it up, if you search the Scriptures carefully and prayerfully in this subject, and if you have a spirit of discernment, and are willing to forsake error for truth, and if you are an honest Christian in the sight of God.

Now, the Scriptures are able to make one wise unto salvation, through faith in Jesus Christ; then why need I stop to examine all the various doctrines of popes, councils, and fathers, when, in searching I should find pope against pope, council against council, and fathers against fathers? This would be like two companies fighting at a great distance, with small arms. But if we wish to come to close action, let us take the armor of truth, which will most assuredly prevail and the closer the action, the sooner the victory will be won on the side of truth.

Now, my dear reader, if you will take the Scriptures and search them as requested, then you will find the following valuable treasures of knowledge among the many therein contained:

1. You will find Christ Himself saying, "The Sabbath was made for man," and that it was made when the first seven days were made, before man had sinned. The Sabbath was thus not made for the Jews in particular, but as a gift of God to man, that is, to mankind universally, of all nations and of all ages of the world.

2. You will find that before the law was given at Mt. Sinai, this was a law and a commandment (Exodus 16); that it was also written by the finger of God, with the "lively oracles," which God committed to the Jews to give to us; that this law, by which is the clear knowledge of sin, is an infallible and everlasting rule by which to know what sin is, and what is not sin; that sin is the transgression of the law; and that to act against it, or to do things contrary to it is sin; but "where no law is, there is no transgression"; that this law Christ came not to destroy, abrogate, or make void; that the law is holy, and just, and good; and that Christians delight in it. And as Paul had not "known lust, except the law had said, Thou shalt not covet," so we had not known which day of the week was the Sabbath, except the law had said, "The seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord thy God." Now, we know by the law that this is the Sabbath, without the help of commentators.

You can find that the resurrection of our Savior has nothing to do with changing the Sabbath, any more than His birth, His death, or His ascension had. Whether He was risen near the end of the Sabbath, or some time before the common time of beginning the first-day sabbath, so-called, has nothing to do with altering one jot or one tittle of the law of God.

4. You can find that the common reasonings of men, that Christ frequently met with His disciples on the first day of the week after His resurrection more than on other days, are false and without foundation; that He went with two of them to Emmaus, about seven and a half miles, and returned to Jerusalem, which would plainly show that He did not regard that day as a Sabbath; that He met with His disciples in the evening, which must have been after the

beginning of the second day of the week (see Genesis 1:8), when they were met, but not to celebrate the resurrection, as false reasoners pretend; that He met with them again "after eight days," that is, near the middle of the next week; and again they were together fishing, so that the fishing day would prove a Sabbath, as much as either of the first two visits.

5. You can find that Luke had not forgotten the distinction between the "first day of the week" and "the Sabbath day" (Acts 20:7), in his recording the meeting of the disciples to break bread on that day; and that this is the only time the first day of the week is mentioned in all the Acts of the Apostles, and it is the only notice of Paul's preaching in that particular day, or rather, evening, and that on a particular occasion; viz., in order to be "ready to depart on the morrow"; that this one instance of the first day's being mentioned proves that it was not the Sabbath, and that the many meetings of the Jews and Gentiles, believers and unbelievers, where Paul preached "every Sabbath," certainly did not occur on the first day of the week.

6. You may find that Paul, in giving orders to some of the churches to lay by themselves in store something according as God had prospered them, on the first day of the week for the poor saints at Jerusalem (1 Corinthians 16:2), does not prove that to be the Sabbath day, but that it was not the Sabbath day, nor suitable to a Sabbath day's work; but rather as an offering to the Lord of "the first ripe fruits of their increase"; to be the first business attended to in the week, to reckon up their earnings and incomes, and devote a part of the same, and lay it by itself, so that it would be ready when Paul came. This was a good calculation for the first business of the week.

7. You can find that as there is no law of God against

doing common labor on the first day of the week, therefore it is no sin or transgression of any law other than the laws and commandments of men.

8. You can find that the Savior said to His disciples, "If ye love me, keep my commandments." Again, "He that hath my commandments, and keepeth them, he it is that loveth me: and he that loveth me shall be loved of my Father, and I will love him, and will manifest myself to him." Again, "Jesus answered and said unto him (Judas, not Iscariot), If a man love me, he will keep my words: and my Father will love him, and we will come unto him, and take our abode with him."

Now, my dear reader, if you can neglect or refuse to obey this fourth commandment of the Decalogue, are you not left without excuse? And you can plead nothing in extenuation of your neglect, "For God shall bring every work into judgment with every secret thing, whether it be good or whether it be evil."